

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,840	10/17/2001	Phillip W. Barth	10003813-1	8468
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
Legal Departme		ADTIBUT	DADED MIMOED	
•	perty Administration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599		2841		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 07/13/2009	ς

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					BV			
		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	771			
Office Action Summary		09/9	981,840	BARTH ET AL.				
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
			my C. Norris	2841				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun ly	ication appears (on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for a light of the period for a light	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common or reply specified above is less than thirty (3 for reply is specified above, the maximum stay within the set or extended period for reply eived by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 0) days, a reply within that the state of the state	n no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. :ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>03 March</i> 2	2005.					
· <u></u> ·	3							
3)☐ Since								
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4a) Of 5)☐ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)☐ Claim	(s) <u>1-30 and 37-39</u> is/are pend the above claim(s) <u>4-7 and 19</u> (s) is/are allowed. (s) <u>1-3,8-18,22-30 and 37-39</u> i (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restrict	9-21 is/are withdo	rawn from conside	ration.				
Application Pa	pers							
10)⊠ The dr Applic Replac	pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on 17 October 2 ant may not request that any objectement drawing sheet(s) including ath or declaration is objected to	(2001) is/are: a) \boxtimes ction to the drawing the correction is i	ng(s) be held in abey required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Ackno a) All 1. 2. 3. 3.	wledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation attached detailed Office action	documents have documents have of the priority do nal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	•		•					
	erences Cited (PTO-892)		· —	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔀 Information D	ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date <u>8/24/04</u> .			o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT) 	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/981,840

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 13-18, 27-30, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,919,155 (Lattin).

Examiner notes that limitations that portions of a device are "adapted to" or "for" certain functions is not a positive limitation but only requires the ability to so perform as has been held (see *In re Hutchison*, 69 USPQ 138).

Lattin discloses, referring to figures 14-17, a flexible circuit comprising; a substrate (73) having a plane; a flexible and extensible structure (75) formed within said substrate and co-planar with said substrate; and wherein said structure is adapted to be extended out of said plane by a distance greater than a maximum lateral dimension of said structure [claim 1], further comprising an insulated pathway formed on said structure (see col. 12, lines 55-65) [claims 2, 17], wherein said pathway is a capillary for transferring a fluid (see col. 12, lines 55-65) [claims 3, 18] wherein said structure has geometric features selected from a group comprising spiral, bend, curve, twist, turn, curl, loop, u-turn and zigzag (see figure 12) [claims 13, 27], wherein said structure is defined by dashed perforations (see figure 18) (claims 14, 28), wherein said structure comprises a boss (74) for receiving a force to extend said structure out of said plane

Application/Control Number: 09/981,840

Art Unit: 2841

[claims 15, 29], further comprising at least a first pathway (82) and a second pathway (866) [claims 16, 30], wherein said structure is adapted to be extended substantially perpendicular to said plane within the region defined by said plane [claim 37], further comprising a pathway (82) formed on said structure [claim 38],

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 09/981,840

Art Unit: 2841

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 8-12, 22-26, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lattin in view of US 5,034,688 (Moulene).

Lattin discloses the claimed invention as described above except Lattin does not specifically state that the structure is a spiral [claims 8, 22, 39]. However, Lattin does teach that the structure can be any of various shapes (see col. 17, lines 1-10). A spiral is a well known shape used in structures to deliever fluids as evidenced by Moulene (see figure 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a sprial shaped structure in the invention of Lattin as is well known in the art. The motivation for doing so would have been to use a known shape, easy to manufacture.

Additionally, regarding claims 9-12 and 23-26, the modified invention of Lattin does not specifically disclose that the spiral comprises an Archimedes spiral, a parabolic spiral, a polygonal spiral, a square spiral, a triangular spiral, a pentagonal spiral or a hexagonal spiral. However, each one of these shapes is well known and a trivial variant of the generic "spiral" disclosed by the modified invention of Lattin. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use any one of these well-known shapes as the "spiral" in the modified invention of Lattin since they are simple variants also easy to manufacture. Moreover, it has been held that more than a mere change of form is necessary for patentability. Span-Deck, Inc v. Fab-con, Inc. (CA 8, 1982) 215 USPQ 835.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 3 and 18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to US 5,919,155 (Lattin). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) are as stated above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 8-18, 22-30, and 37-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JCSN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800